

**PMCS56**  
**THE EFFECT OF RECALL PERIOD ON CANCER PATIENTS' RATINGS OF THE SEVERITY OF MULTIPLE SYMPTOMS**Shi Q<sup>1</sup>, Trask PC<sup>2</sup>, Wang S<sup>1</sup>, Mendoza T<sup>1</sup>, Cleeland C<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA, <sup>2</sup>Pfizer, New London, CT, USA

In response to the US Food and Drug Administration's concern on choice of suitable recall period for patient-reported outcomes (PRO), we examined the effects of recall on symptom severity ratings by comparing ratings made using 24-hour and 7-day recall periods of the MD Anderson Symptom Inventory (MDASI). **METHODS:** Forty-two patients at their 3rd to 8th week of chemoradiation in the Radiation Treatment Center at M.D. Anderson Cancer Center were asked to rate their symptoms using the MDASI on two separate occasions, one week apart. At the initial visit, patients were randomly assigned to rate their symptoms using either a 24-hour recall or a 7-day recall. On their next visit, patients were asked to rate their symptoms using the recall period not used at their first visit. **RESULTS:** Correlation coefficients of global symptom severity between 24-hour and 7-day recall periods were 0.89. Examining individual items, all correlation coefficients were over 0.7 except for distress ( $r = 0.67$ ). The percentages of moderate to severe symptoms (5 or greater) were consistent in the 24-hour and 7-day recall periods, with no significant difference in the prevalence of moderate to severe symptoms being found between the two recall periods. Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficients in both 24-hour and 7-day recalls were all over 0.8. Symptoms from both recall periods were more severe for patients with poorer performance status. Among 20 patients who underwent cognitive debriefing, 70% thought the 7-day recall was "more appropriate" for answering the MDASI, but 85% did not think that recall period would influence their answers. **CONCLUSIONS:** This study demonstrated that a 7-day recall version of the MDASI has psychometric properties consistent with the 24-hour recall version, which may allow its use in future clinical trials. In addition, this study may help ease the choice of recall period when symptoms are outcome measures.

**PMCS57**  
**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN QUALITY OF LIFE AND HEALTH-RELATED MEASURES INCLUDING SYMPTOMS, BIOCHEMICAL MARKERS AND TUMOR BURDEN**

Vinik EJ, Vinik AI, Silva MP

Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** Examine the relationship of quality of life measures in neuroendocrine tumor patients using the Norfolk QOL-NET by correlating the total questionnaire score with each of the Norfolk QOL-NET domains, with tumor burden, biochemical status and the Norfolk Carcinoid Symptom Score tool. **METHODS:** During their visits to the Neuroendocrine Unit at Eastern Virginia Medical School, 29 adult patients diagnosed with neuroendocrine tumor(s) signed the consent form and completed the Norfolk QOL-NET. Data related to current tumor burden, biochemical status and the validated Carcinoid Symptom Score was obtained from their files matching the date they completed the questionnaires. **RESULTS:** The Norfolk QOL-NET total score correlated positively with all of its domains – physical functioning ( $r = 0.96$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ), depression ( $r = 0.73$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), gastrointestinal ( $r = 0.78$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), flushing ( $r = 0.62$ ,  $p < 0.0003$ ), respiratory ( $r = 0.65$ ,  $p < 0.0002$ ), positive attitude ( $r = 0.52$ ,  $p < 0.004$ ), and cardiovascular ( $r = 0.46$ ,  $p < 0.012$ ); with the Norfolk Carcinoid Symptom Score ( $r = 0.6$ ,  $p < .0001$ ); with tumor burden ( $r = 0.52$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ), and serotonin ( $r = 0.62$ ,  $p = 0.013$ ). Serotonin was the only biochemical marker that correlated positively with a poor quality of life in patients with neuroendocrine tumors. **CONCLUSIONS:** We demonstrated a strong correlation between Norfolk QOL-NET and symptoms, biochemical markers and tumor burden. Norfolk QOL-NET seems sensitive to symptom change, physical functioning, respiratory and cardiovascular disease progression or remission. Norfolk QOL-NET should be an important tool for measuring patients' perception of the burden of their disease, relating to the tumor burden and the biochemical abnormality as well as the impact of treatment modalities. The Norfolk quality of life tool may also be a useful guide in deciding changes in therapy to alter apparent health status as well as an endpoint in clinical studies.

**PMCS58**  
**TEST-RETEST RELIABILITY OF THE EQ-5D VISUAL ANALOG SCALE ACROSS POPULATIONS AND CONDITIONS**Wilke CT<sup>1</sup>, Pickard AS<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA, <sup>2</sup>College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** As electronic versions of HRQL measures such as the EQ-5D become available, it is important to understand the reliability of different modes of technology. The aim of this study was to summarize the evidence of test-retest reliability for the EQ-5D visual analog scale (VAS), a scale ranging from 0 (worst imaginable health) to 100 (best imaginable health). **METHODS:** A structured literature search was conducted in MEDLINE using keywords relevant to EQ-5D, visual analog scales, and test-retest reliability. Original research studies that reported information on the test-retest reliability of the EQ-5D VAS were included. Demographic characteristics, interval between observations, and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were abstracted. **RESULTS:** Of the 25 studies that examined test-retest reliability of EQ-5D, 14 reported evidence of test-retest reliability for EQ-5D VAS. Most of the papers were studies that assessed the validity of EQ-5D for certain countries or languages ( $n =$

5/14, 36%) or for use in patient groups / certain medical conditions ( $n = 7/14$ , 50%). The most common interval between observations was 2 weeks ( $n = 4/14$ , 29%), with analyses conducted on a subgroup of self-reported stable patients, based on self-report, in 4 studies. TRT ICCs ranged from ICC = 0.38 for Alzheimer's patients to ICC = 0.90 (95% CI: 0.88–0.92) for a methodological study conducted in Spain, with a median ICC = 0.8 across the 14 studies. Almost 80% of studies (11/14) reported ICCs above 0.7, a reliability threshold considered acceptable at the group level. **CONCLUSIONS:** EQ-5D VAS demonstrated acceptable TRT reliability in most studies of populations and medical conditions except in Alzheimer's disease, where proxies but not patients provided reproducible assessments.

**PMCS59**  
**PSYCHOMETRIC ASSESSMENT OF AN INSTRUMENT TO MEASURE PATIENT SATISFACTION WITH MEDICATION THERAPY MANAGEMENT SERVICES**Bodhani A<sup>1</sup>, West D<sup>2</sup>, Li C<sup>1</sup>, Ounpraseuth S<sup>1</sup>, Pace A<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, USA, <sup>2</sup>University of Mississippi School of Pharmacy, University, MS, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** To adapt a pharmaceutical care satisfaction instrument and pilot test it to measure patient satisfaction with Medication Therapy Management (MTM) services. **METHODS:** A questionnaire was mailed to Medicare Part D beneficiaries who received face-to-face MTM services in a retail pharmacy in a southern state. The questionnaire consisted 23 questions addressing patients' perceptions, experience, and satisfaction related to MTM services. Information regarding patients' satisfaction was gathered using an instrument developed by Gourley et al. (2001) with a few modifications to make it applicable to MTM service encounter. We assessed the content validity and pre-tested the questionnaire to determine the time needed to complete and to ensure item clarity. Factor analysis using the principal component method with varimax rotation and reliability analysis using Cronbach's alpha was conducted. **RESULTS:** Of the 403 successfully mailed surveys; we received 122 useable surveys yielding a response rate of 30.27%. Sample comprised 55% females, 68% whites. Over 80% of the study participants took 5–16 unique medications daily. Factor analysis using a 0.55 cut-off for factor loading yielded four factors that accounted for 64.4% of the variance. Reliability assessment resulted in Cronbach's alpha value of 0.941 for the entire scale, 0.904 for factor 1 (Managing Medication Therapy), 0.917 for factor 2 (Patient Education), 0.910 for factor 3 (Overall Satisfaction), and 0.841 for factor 4 (Pharmacist-Patient Relationship). The overall mean score was found to be 4.5/5 indicating that the participants were satisfied with the MTM services they received. **CONCLUSIONS:** The adapted questionnaire consisted of four subscales similar to subscales found in other pharmacy satisfaction surveys. The instrument appears to be useful in measuring patient satisfaction with MTM services received in a pharmacy. Low sample size, extrapolation of results to other states and settings, and recall bias are the notable limitations associated with this study.

**PMCS60**  
**DETERMINING THE MINIMALLY IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES OF FOUR PREFERENCE-BASED HEALTH INDICES: A SIMULATION APPROACH**Luo N<sup>1</sup>, Johnson JA<sup>2</sup>, Coons SJ<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>2</sup>University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada, <sup>3</sup>University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** To estimate the minimally important differences (MIDs) for the EQ-5D, HUI2, HUI3, and SF-6D health index scores using health-state transitions described by each instrument's health classification systems as anchors. **METHODS:** We assume that the smallest differences in health states defined by each instrument's multi-attribute health classification (MAHC) systems are associated with important differences in health preferences. Based on this assumption, the MID was defined as the difference in index score between two health states defined by each MAHC system differing in only one health dimension or attribute and by only one functional level. Thus, for each instrument, we enumerated all the theoretically possible pairs of minimally different health states and calculated the differences in index scores for those pairs of health states. **RESULTS:** Based on our definitions, the total number of pairs of minimally different health states is 405 for the EQ-5D, 127,600 for the HUI2, 6,382,800 for the HUI3, and 86,700 for the SF-6D. The mean (standard deviation) MID estimate was 0.040 (0.026) for the EQ-5D (US algorithm), 0.082 (0.032) for the EQ-5D (UK algorithm), 0.045 (0.039) for the HUI2, 0.032 (0.027) for the HUI3, and 0.027 (0.028) for the SF-6D. The effect sizes corresponding to these MID estimates range from 0.19 to 0.28. In general, these MID estimates are quite comparable to those estimated using other anchor-based methods. **CONCLUSIONS:** This new approach to estimating the MIDs of four commonly used preference-based HRQL index scores provides new and useful information for identifying and interpreting meaningful change (or differences) in scores.

**PMCS61**  
**MEASURING POPULATION HEALTH STATUS USING EQ-5D: RESULTS FROM THE HEALTH SURVEY FOR ENGLAND 1996–2006**Zarate V<sup>1</sup>, Kind P<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>University of York, York, North Yorkshire, UK, <sup>2</sup>University of York, York, UK

**OBJECTIVES:** The development of national health policies requires a clear understanding about how objective and subjective measures of health status vary over time. This task is only possible when generic self-reported instruments are considered part of population surveys alongside traditional health indicators. This study examines variations in self-reported health status in England as measured by the EuroQol EQ-5D

questionnaire. **METHODS:** Data from the Health Survey for England (HSE) of 1996 (n = 16,443) and 2006 (n = 14,142) were selected in order to assess variation in population health status over a 10 year period. Both surveys covered population aged 16 years and over living in private households. The sample is regularly drawn using a multistage stratified random procedure that uses postcode sectors as the primary sample unit. Given that only the EQ-5D descriptive system is included to describe self-reported health in the HSE, a predicted EQ-5DVAS was estimated for each respondent based on a regression model developed from data of the 1993 York Measurement and Valuation of Health Project. **RESULTS:** Despite being older (2.59 years on average, p-value <0.001) and having a slightly higher proportions of women (0.8 percent, p-value 0.156), the 2006 HSE reflects that English population has significantly (p-value <0.001) reduced its prevalence of self-reported health problems in the last 10 years in three out of five EQ-5D dimensions: usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression. Mobility and self-care dimensions, although higher in prevalence, did not reach statistical significance at 5% level when both years were compared. Health improvements over time were also reflected in the utility-weighted EQ-5DINDEX and predicted EQ-5DVAS (p-value <0.001), having the 16–44 age-group and women the highest health gains. **CONCLUSIONS:** EQ-5D is a useful tool for monitoring population health. Our findings will assist local policymakers and public health authorities by improving their knowledge about trends in self-perceived health.

PMC62

#### ASSESSING THE QUALITY OF CONJOINT ANALYSIS APPLICATIONS IN HEALTH: A PILOT EVALUATION OF THE ISPOR CHECKLIST FOR GOOD RESEARCH PRACTICE IN CONJOINT ANALYSIS

Marshall DA<sup>1</sup>, Hauber AB<sup>2</sup>, Bridges JP<sup>3</sup>, Cameron R<sup>4</sup>, Weaver L<sup>2</sup>, Dionne J<sup>4</sup>, Johnson FR<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada, <sup>2</sup>RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA, <sup>3</sup>Johns Hopkins University, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA, <sup>4</sup>McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

**OBJECTIVES:** Increasingly, conjoint analysis, a stated-preference method, is applied in health outcomes research. Variation in method type and quality make it difficult to assess substantive findings. The ISPOR Conjoint Analysis Database Project was established to identify and evaluate empirical conjoint analysis applications in the literature using the 10-point ISPOR Checklist for Good Research Practice in Conjoint Analysis (the Checklist). **METHODS:** Multiple electronic databases published between 1980 and 2008 were searched to identify conjoint-analysis applications in human health studies. Only English-language publications were incorporated. Included studies were subject to detailed data extraction including descriptive information, methodological details on survey type, experimental design, survey format, attributes and levels, sample size, number of conjoint tasks per respondent, and analysis methods. Review articles and methods studies were excluded. The detailed extraction form was piloted to identify key elements to be included in the database using a standardized taxonomy and to test the Checklist as an evaluative framework for the methodological assessment of these studies. **RESULTS:** The search identified 2,365 citations – 264 met inclusion criteria. The number of applied studies increased substantially over time (1980–85 = 5 and 2007 = 42) in a broad range of applications, cancer being the most frequent. Based on the pilot results, discrete-choice experiments using fractional factorial designs were most common. Attribute number ranged from 3–6, choice tasks per respondent ranged from 8–16 and sample size ranged from 30–335. Studies generally reported less information than required by the 10-point Checklist, especially regarding methods used to generate experimental design and reporting design properties. **CONCLUSIONS:** Conjoint analysis in health has expanded to include a broad range of applications and methodological approaches. The Checklist provides a framework to assess their quality. The conjoint analysis Database project will complete the assessment of the quality and variability of these studies based on the pilot findings.

PMC63

#### THE TRANSLATION AND LINGUISTIC VALIDATION OF THE NEUROPATHY TOTAL SYMPTOM SCORE-6 SELF-ASSESSED VERSION (NTSS-6 SA)

Furtado T, Gordon-Stables R, Wild D

Oxford Outcomes Ltd, Oxford, UK

The NTSS-6 SA has been translated into many different languages. It is designed to assess the severity of peripheral neuropathy symptoms. The objective of this study was to produce translations that are conceptually equivalent to the original and to other language versions, ensuring the relevance of the translations within the target cultures. A standard methodology was employed: 2 forward translations, a reconciliation of the forward translations, 2 back translations, back translation review; or an in-country review; linguistic validation interviews with 5 patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy in each country, and 2 proofreadings. Numerous cultural and linguistic issues became apparent throughout the translation process, including the following: – Many different pain types are described (e.g. stabbing, shooting, electric-shock like, boring, aching) which were particularly difficult as this vocabulary was unavailable in some languages. A decision was made to assign the pain types into two groups; firstly dull, aching pains, and secondly sharper, stabbing pains. These could then be more easily conveyed and translated. – For many countries, there was no direct translation for 'pins and needles'. If the country had no idiomatic description of this, 'feeling as if ants crawl on the skin' was used. – Some items ask about 'feet'; many of the countries involved have no specific word for 'feet', so 'from ankle to toes' was translated. – Some languages were unable to convey 'asleep feeling' in a limb; this wording was therefore converted to 'numbness'. The NTSS-6 SA has been translated and linguistically validated using a rigorous translation process. A number of cultural and linguistic issues

became apparent and were resolved. The measure is now appropriate for use in multinational trials.

PMC64

#### DEVELOPMENT OF A CHECKLIST TO ASSESS THE QUALITY OF TRANSLATIONS OF PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOMES (PRO) INSTRUMENTS

Conway K<sup>1</sup>, Acquadro C<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MAPI Research Trust, Lyon, France, <sup>2</sup>Hopital Saint-Louis, Paris, France

**OBJECTIVES:** Previous research for existing classification systems for translations of PRO instruments have shown that existing classifications 1) do not give formal evidence of the added value of any one step of the translation process; 2) do not address the importance of the number of translators or their qualification; and 3) do not give formal assurance of the intrinsic quality of the translations. Therefore there is a need to develop a comprehensive tool in form of a checklist to assess the quality of the translations of PRO instruments. This is the objective of our study. **METHODS:** We conducted 1) interviews with project leaders involved in the translation of PRO instruments; 2) a review of the process used to translate more than 300 instruments in up to 130 languages since 1995; and 3) a literature search with the following key words: translation, quality, assessment, control and evaluation. **RESULTS:** Sixteen articles were retrieved. The review of these and the interviews confirmed that 3 key elements should be assessed at each step of the linguistic validation process, i.e. the method used, the team involved and the quality of the end-product. The quality evaluation should be based on the availability (or not) of evidence backing each step. Mandatory evidence required for each step should be provided. For instance, evidence of the conceptual definition of the different items as defined by the developer should be provided as well as evidence that translations are conducted within the target countries. In the pilot test step, evidence of background information and participation of subjects should be included. The checklist is currently under development and will be presented. **CONCLUSIONS:** The checklist will provide an increasing level of confidence about the validity of a translation for the context in which the PRO measure and its translation will be used.

PMC65

#### A NOVEL COMPARISON OF QUALITATIVE DATA SOURCES: CONTENT ANALYSIS OF SEMI – STRUCTURED PATIENT INTERVIEWS VERSUS WEBLOGS (BLOGS)

Acaster SL<sup>1</sup>, Wild D<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oxford Outcomes, Oxford, UK, <sup>2</sup>Oxford Outcomes Ltd, Oxford, UK

**OBJECTIVES:** Blogs have become a fruitful source of qualitative data in recent years and, as there is a relative lack of qualitative data in many areas of health research, this new data source could provide valuable insight in the early stages of research development. As such, the objective of this study was to assess the potential use of blogs in research development by comparing the data available in blogs with that gained from conducting semi-structured interviews with patients. **METHODS:** The subject of menopausal hot flashes was used to demonstrate the comparison. Twenty semi – structured interviews were conducted with women reporting to suffer hot flashes. The interviews focussed on a description of the symptoms and their impact on HRQoL. The themes emerging from the content analysis of these interviews was then compared to the themes found in twenty blog entries. Four researchers conducted the analysis, two in each data source group. **RESULTS:** Both the semi – structured interview data and the blog data provided numerous descriptions of the symptoms of hot flashes, with no discrepancies in thematic content. The interviews did however allow an explicit discussion of the range in symptom severity and the relationship between hot flashes and night sweats, which could only be inferred in the blog analysis. Similarly, the effect of hot flashes on physical and social functioning, and psychological wellbeing, produced similar themes in both data sources. However, while the interviews permitted clarification of the impact of symptoms on HRQoL, blog analysis often relied on inference. **CONCLUSIONS:** The broad themes elicited from both data sources were comparable. However, the interactive nature of the interviews produced richer, more reliable data than that contained within the blogs. As such the role of blog analysis could be that of a cost effective adjunct to literature searches when developing research protocols.

PMC66

#### USE OF THE MINI INTERNATIONAL NEUROPSYCHIATRIC INTERVIEW (M.I.N.I.) – VERSION 6 – IN AN INTERNATIONAL STUDY

Boudrot A<sup>1</sup>, D'Uva F<sup>2</sup>, Sheehan D<sup>3</sup>, Lecrubier Y<sup>4</sup>, Even C<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mapi Research Institute, Lyon, France, <sup>2</sup>Mapi Research Institute, LYON, France, <sup>3</sup>University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA, <sup>4</sup>Centre Hospitalier Sainte-Anne, Paris, France

The M.I.N.I. is a semi-structured interview designed to explore and diagnose psychiatric disorders in research and clinical settings. Various versions of the US English instrument exist (M.I.N.I. Kid, M.I.N.I. Plus, etc.). Since its development in 1990 some or all versions of the original have been translated into more than 40 languages. **OBJECTIVES:** Before using version 6 in 12 countries, it had to be translated according to a rigorous methodology to meet 3 requirements: (1) concordance with existing translations, (2) conceptual equivalence across and (3) linguistic consistency within languages. **METHODS:** The translation process was conducted as follows: forward translations on the basis of translations of version 5 produced by psychiatrists appointed by the authors, backward translation, clinician review and whenever possible, review by the psychiatrists who had coordinated the initial translations. The authors contributed to the process by identifying the original concepts and reviewing the backward